1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I believe we would make a mistake in this period of the 20th Century to leave the bicameral principle.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Hanson.

DELEGATE HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I yield three minutes to Delegate Clagett.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Clagett.

DELEGATE CLAGETT: I rise, Mr. Chairman, in support of amendment 1 to section 3.01 of LB-1.

I doubt if there is anyone in this chamber who is more, or has more traditional ties, or to put it slightly differently, is tied more by tradition than I happen to be. However, I have been concentratedly exposed to the pros and cons of this question, bicameralism, unicameralism, for now two and a half years. You will recall, Mr. Chairman, when the first vote was taken back in 1965 of your Commission, it was true I cast a vote in favor of bicameralism. When the last vote was cast in the latter part of 1966 and bicameralism was the majority by a 13 to 12, I was in the minority, the unicameral column.

I have become convinced, after careful study and careful consideration of the merits of the